UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,494	06/30/2006	Christoph Matzig	MATZ3004/JEK	2909
23364 7590 08/19/2010 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS	LANE	RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
FOURTH FLOO ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/550,494	MATZIG, CHRISTOPH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOSEPH C. RODRIGUEZ	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	·					
· <u> </u>	, 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.	former and side water in					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
·—	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<u> </u>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendments filed 12/24/09 and 6/25/2010 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the amended language of paragraph 14 is new matter. In particular, the language "during the deposit or money receiving portion of the ongoing money deposit transaction" implies some limitation of the different portions of the transaction which was not present in the originally filed disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Here, the amended claims 1 and 8 include the language objected to above and, as noted in the Advisory Action of 7/9/2010, this language appears to be redefining the deposit transaction so as to include the dispensing transaction. That is, Applicant defines the "money receiving portion" as a transaction as separate from and after the completion of the "deposit transaction" (see e.g., para. 7, 9 and Abstract stating that "bank notes being in a good state are made available to the depositor after the completion of the money deposit transaction". Consequently, the language "during a deposit or money receiving portion of the deposit transaction" is regarded as new matter as it is not supported by the specification as originally filed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding these claims, the claim language "deposit or money receiving portion of the ongoing deposit transaction" (claims 1, 8) is indefinite. In particular, the language "the money receiving portion" is indefinite. Applicant's remarks of 6/25/2010 describe the "money receiving portion" as separate from the dispensation action of the device, thus it would appear that "money receiving" refers to the various storage elements within the device. The specification, however, refers to the depositor as the receiving portion (para. 5), thus adding ambiguity to claim language.

Examiner recommends clarifying the "money receiving portion" language to clearly differentiate that the money is being received by the device rather than by the depositor. Indeed, Examiner believes this would clarify the transactional boundaries between a deposit transaction that includes the act of inputting or inserting money as well as the money being received in various cassettes and pockets within the device from dispensation transaction when the depositor receives banknotes. This type of clarifying amendment would serve to alleviate the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection set forth above as well.

Examiner requests clarification and recommends amending the claims with language that clearly sets forth the claimed invention. In the interim, and in the interests of compact prosecution, the claims have been interpreted wherein the money receiving portion of the deposit transaction is regarded as the duration when the device cassettes and pockets are receiving banknotes that have been inputted.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,494 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Joseph C Rodriguez** whose telephone number is **571-272-3692** (M-F, 9 am – 6 pm, EST). The Supervisory Examiner is Stefanos Karmis, **571-272-6744**. The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The examiner's UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number is 571-273-3692.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (Toll Free).

/Joseph C Rodriguez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653 Jcr

August 18, 2010